

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/691,464 10/22/2003		22/2003	Nobuaki Kamiyama	9319G-000581	7325		
27572	7590	06/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	•	& PIERCE, P.L.O	LEBRON, JANNELLE M				
P.O. BOX 82 BLOOMFIE		MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2861			

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/691,464	KAMIYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jannelle M. Lebron	2861		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jannelle M. Lebron	2861					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE	IE REPLY FILED 30 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
I. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
nave I inder set for nay r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the rth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
2. 🗌	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AIVIE	NDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
о. 🗀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
	appeal; and/or (d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
_	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5 3	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. 🛛	non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ill be entered and an o	explanation of				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:	•						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. 🛭	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. 🛭	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Faper 1	No(s). 03/30/2008					
13. [] Other:	ANA	SONNGLIVEN	02				
		CIMA	SONNGUYEN ARY EXAMINER					
		c	6/19/21					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding applicant's argument that the Takizawa et al. invention neither discloses or suggests performing a detecting operation for a clogged nozzle during a carrying operation of the printing paper, please note that the paper is fed between printing swaths (col.7, lines 48-53) and the detection of malfunctioning nozzles takes place between said swaths (col.15, lines 18-38)..

Jannelle M. Lebron AU 2861 06/16/2006

> LAMSON NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

06/19/06